(original)

MHK

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINO RECEIVED EASTERN DIVISION 7-7X-2005

RONALD D. GRANGER #B-24617,

JUL 2 8 2008 MB

Plaintiff.

MICHAEL W. DOBBINS CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

. -4.4.2.4011

No. 08 C. 39

-Vs-

Judge Robert M. Dow, Jr.

DOCTOR ZAIRE; DOCTOR ALONSA; DOCTOR MEFADDEN; OFFICER TRAVIS M. RAUCH; EMPLOYEE McCLUSKEY; GREGORY LIPE; and; LEANNA J. WILLIAMS,

Defendants.

AMENDED COMPLAINT

JURISDICTION

1. The jurisdiction of the Court is invoked pursuant to the Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. Sec. 1983 et seq.; the judicial code, 28 U.S.C. Sec. 1331 and 1343(a); the Constitution of the United States; and pendent jurisdiction, as codified in 28 U.S.C. Sec. 1367(a).

PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff, Ronald D. Granger #B-24617 is a 45 year old African-American man, a citizen of the United States, and is currently incarcerated in the Stateville Correctional Center, P.O. Box 112, Joliet, Illinois 60434-0112.
- 3. Defendant, DOCTOR ZAIRE, is employed in the Health Care Unit Hospital at Graham Correctional Center, P.O. Box 500, Hillsboro, Illinois 62049 and engaged in the conduct complained of in the course and scope of his employment, and is sued in both his Official and individual capacity.
- 4. Defendant DOCTOR ALONZA, is employed in the Health Case Unit Hospital at Graham Correctional Center, P.O. Box 500, Hillsbore, Illinois

62049 and was over Dialysis and engaged in the conduct complained of in the course and scope of his employment, and is sued in both his Official and individual capacity.

- 5. Defendant DOCTOR McFADDEN, is employed in the Health Care
 Unit Hospital at Stateville Correctional Center, P.O. Box 112, Route
 53, Joliet, Illinois 60434-0112 and engaged in the conduct complained of in the course and scope of his employment, and is sued in both his Official and individual capacity.
- 6. Defendant OFFICER TRAVIS M. RAUCH, Is employed as a Correctional Officer at Granham Correctional center, P.O. Box 500, Hillsboro, Illinois 62049 and engaged in the conduct complained of in the course and scope of his employment, and is sued in both his Official and Individual Capacity.
- 7. Defendant EMPLOYEE McCLUSKEY Is employed as a Server/Investigator for the Adjustment Committee at Graham Correctional Center, P.O. Box 500, Hillsboro, Illinois 62049 and engaged in the conduct complained of in the course and scope of his employment and is sued in both his Official and individual capacity.
- 8. Defendant GREGORY LIPE Is employed as a Chairperson of the Adjustment Committee at Graham Correctional Center, P.O. Box 500, Hillsboro, Illinois 62049 and engaged in the conduct complained of inthe course and scope of his employment and is sued in both his Official and individual capacity. And,
- 9. Defendant LEANNA J. WILLIAMS, Is employed as an Adjustment Committee Member at Graham Correctional Center, P.O. Box 500, Hillsboro, Illinois 62049 and engaged in the conduct complained of in the course and scope of her employment and is sued in both her Official and individual capacity.

FACTS

- 10. In late July 2006 Plaintiff's Left-Leg was 'Swollen-up' very badly. Plaintiff requested to see a Doctor at Graham Correctional Center after being seen by a nurse on Sick-Call. Plaintiff thereafter was scheduled to see a Doctor.
- 11. The next day, Plaintiff's leg was swollen as big as a Basket-ball, and Plaintiff told his Housing Unit Officer that it was an "Emergency". The Officer agreed after seeing Plaintiff's leg.
- 12. The Unit Officer Called a Nurse and when the nurse arrived at the Housing Unit Plaintiff was placed in a Wheelchair and taken to the Health Care Unit Hospital.
- 13. After arriving at the Graham Correctional Center Health Care Unit Hospital, Plaintiff was seen by Doctor Zaire.
- 14. After Doctor Zaire did a preliminary examination, He Ordered an I.V. for Plaintiff, and assigned plaintiff to the Health Care Unit.
- 15. The following day, Doctor Zaire again examined Plaintiff and noted that Plaintiff's leg was swollen even larger, and ordered that Plaintiff be taken to (Hillsboro Hospital) for a "Sinagram".
- 16. On the Fourth Day Plaintiff was taken to Hillsboro for his 'first' "Sinagram" where allegedly nothing was discovered.
- 17. On the fifth Day Plaintiff was again examined by Doctor Zaire, the Plaintiff's Left Leg continuing to Swell even larger.

 Doctor Zaire again Ordered that Plaintiff be taken back to (Hillsboro Hospital) for a Second Sinagram.
- 18. This same day, Plaintiff was again taken to Hillsboro Hospital where a "Second-Sinagram" was completed, and again allegedly

nothing was discovered irregardless of the "Massive Swelling" of Plaintiff's Left leg that was extremely painful to bear.

- 19. After the Second-Sinagram, Plaintiff was returned to Graham Correctional Center and remained again over-night in the Health Care Unit until the Seventh day when Plaintiff was again examined by Doctor Zaire.
- 20. After Doctor Zaire again examined Plaintiff, noting that Plaintiff's leg was swollen even larger and plaintiff was experiencing excruciating pain, Doctor Zaire Ordered that Plaintiff be immediately taken to (St. John's) Hospital in Springfield, Illinois.
- 21. Plaintiff was taken to St. John's Hospital in Springfield Illinois and placed in I.C.U. where the Physicians placed Plaintiff on Thorazine and other Mind-Altering medications for pain which totalled approximatly (15)-Pills a day which caused Plaintiff loss of body control and placed him in a dream state causing hulicinations while being tested and examined until those physicians determined that Plaintiff was losing a great amount of Blood, and that Plaintiff's left leg had an absest and required Surgery.
- 22. St. John's Hospital Physicians placed a 'tude' in Plaintiff's Chest and abministered (2)-Bags of Blood and antibiotics with the other pills previously mentioned.
- 23. Plaintiff remained in I.C.U. for approximately 4-days being preped for Surgery on Plaintiff's left leg. Plaintiff was required to sign a release for surgery.
- 24. Plaintiff was then taken by a team of surgery Doctors to complete the surgery in which Plaintiff was subjected to unnecessary Surgery and disfigurement by inexperienced physicians.

- 25. Plaintiff was taken and placed back in I.C.U. after the Surgery, However after a couple of days plaintiff was then transferred to Room 832 at St. John's Hospital for Recovery and continued medical treatment.
- 26. Plaintiff was 'cuffed' to a Hospital bed and Correctional Officers were assigned to watch Plaintiff.
- 27. Plaintiff remained on the previously mentioned mind-Altering medications which consisted of approximately (15)-Pills a day, which included thorizine, Nurses were required to change Bandages/Dressings which covered the approximately (12)-Inch by (1)-Inch Surgery wound that had gotten infected and continued to drain puss. These Dressings were "removed" by and disposed of by the nursing staff and physicians. These bandages/Dressings covered Plaintiff's complete thigh of Plaintiffs Left leg. Plaintiff had no wounds that required a simple Band-Aid.
- 28. Officer Travis M. Rauch was assigned to watch Plaintiff.

 Officer Rauch while watching Plaintiff had attempted to become personnaly involved with one of the attending Nurses at St. John's Rospital, who was assigned to change Plaintiff's Bandages/Dressings on Plaintiff's left leg.
- 29. Officer Rauch advised the Nurse that he was born and raised in Hillsboro, Illinois and had just returned from Iraq. The Nurse said she was getting ready to go to lunch and Officer Rauch offered to buy her lunch for her and gave the nurse money.
- 30. Officer Rauch became upset when the nurse never returned to give him his change. The following day the nurse again came in to plaintiff's room to change Bandages/Dressings on Plaintiff's left

leg and Officer Rauch and the nurse discussed why she had not brought him back any change from buying her lunch which she claimed she didn't think she was required to bring back any change. Officer Rauch then asked the Nurse to meet him at a Club called "Eleven-West" in Springfield, Illinois that night, and she agreed and left the room.

- 31. The following day, August 5th, 2006, Officer Travis M. Rauch again came to watch Plaintiff at St. Johns Hospital, However, Officer Travis Rauch became abusive toward Plaintiff as he was upset that the Nurse failed to meet him at the Club called "Eleven-West" and stood him up. Officer Rauch stated he was tired of being assigned to watch Plaintiff at the Hospital and knew how to change that.
- 32. The Next day, August 6th, 2006 Officer Travis M. Rauch came to watch Plaintiff, and again was abusive toward plaintiff after Plaintiff inquired about his date with the Nurse. Officer Rauch stated to Plaintiff that he wouldn't be watching Plaintiff much longer, and asked Plaintiff how he liked Pontiac? And told Plaintiff that's probably where plaintiff would be going, and Plaintiff asked 'why' he would be going there. Officer Rouch stated "You'll see".
- 33. Plaintiff did not see Officer Travis M. Rauch any more after August 6th, 2006, and Plaintiff remained in St. John's Hospital until August 10th, 2006 when he was returned to Graham Correctional Center Health Care Unit.
- 34. Upon Plaintiff's arrival at Graham Correctional centers
 Health Care Unit, Plaintiff was placed in a "Segregation" Cell within the facility hospital.
- 35. Upon Plaintiff's inquiry of why he was being kept in the "Segregation" cell, Plaintiff was only told that "He may have a Ticket" (meaning Disciplinary Ticket) for some type of rule violation, which

Plaintiff knew nothing about any Rule Violations that he could have possibly committed prior to being admitted to the Hospital Health Care Unit for treatment.

- Zaire and Doctor Alonza (Together or Seperately), However, after they observed the Tube that remained in Plaintiff's Chest that had been placed there by St. John's Hospital for the purpose of administering two-bags of Blood to Plaintiff, one of these Doctor's Mistook the purpose of the "Chest Tube" as being necessary for Dialysis, and without any other type of testing Ordered that Plaintiff be taken to Dialysis on Tuesdays, Thursdays and Saturdays.
- 37. On Saturday, August 12th, 2006, Plaintiff began Dialysis Treatments. Plaintiff inquired why he needed Dialysis, and was only told the "Doctor Ordered it".
- 38. On August 21st, 2006 Plaintiff received (2) (Adjustment Committee Final Summary Reports) (Attached) which alleged that Plaintiff Refused to Appear to address the Charges for an alleged incident that allegedly occurred on August 5th, 2006 wherein plaintiff was alleged to have "Assaulted" Officer Travis Rauch while confined in the Health Care Unit, and alleged that Plaintiff had "Threatened".

 Officer Rauch by alleging he would Kill him if he could get up.
- 39. Plaintiff's Disciplinary action against him was [1-year C-Grade, 1-Years Segregation, Loss of 1-year Good Conduct Credit or 1-year Statutory Good-Time, 6-Months Contact visit restriction and 6-months Gym/Yard Restriction],
- 40. Plaintiff was never served a copy of any alleged Disciplinary Report written by Officer Rauch on August 5th, 2006, Secondly, Plaintiff was not in the Graham Health Care Unit on August 5th, 2006, but was

in Springfield, Illinois in St. John's Hospital on August 5th, 2006, in which Plaintiff does not recall any such incidents as he was on numerous mind-altering medications, including thorizine and (14)-other medications. Further,

- (Adjustment Committee Final Summary Report) (Attached) which Plaintiff received in the Institutional mail with the other one, which also alleged Plaintiff "Refused to Appear" to address the charges for yet another alleged incident on August 6th, 2006, wherein Plaintiff was alleged to have <u>again</u> "Assaulted" this same Officer Travis Rauch by allegedly "Spitting on the Officers Boot" and "Disobeying a Direct Order" while confined in the <u>Health Care</u> <u>Unit</u>.
- 42. Plaintiff's Disciplinary action against him was [1-Year C-Grade, 1-year Segregation, Loss and Revoke 1-Year Good Conduct Credit or 1-year Statutory Good-time, Disciplinary Transfer, and 6-Months Contact Visit Restriction (Consecutive) to the other Disciplinary Report).
- A3. Plaintiff was never served a copy of any alleged Disciplinary Report by Defendant McCluskey who was in Graham Correctional Center and Plaintiff was confined to and chained to a Hospital Bed in Springfield, Illinois in St. John's Hospital until August 10th, 2006, Further, Plaintiff does not recall any such alleged incidents as he was on numerous mind-altering medications, including thorizine and (14)-other medications. Further,
- 44. Plaintiff was never told about or given any opportunity to be taken before any Adjustment Committee on (August 15th, 2006) as

Plaintiff on August 15th, 2006 at 10:39 A.M. was in "Dialysis from 8:30 A.M. to 11:30 A.M. (which takes 3-hours to complete each Dialysis Treatment) and would not have been available for any such Adjustment Committee Hearing irregardless, as the Treatment is one continuing process that cannot be stopped and started again for plaintiff to go or appear anywhere else. Plaintiff was never advised of any such Hearing and never refused to address any charges.

- 45. Plaintiff first became aware of these alleged Disciplinary Reports being written on him by Defendant Travis Rauch After the Adjustment Committee's Final Summary Report was mailed to Plaintiff on August 21st, 2006 and he was being "Punished" and read that he had lost 2-years Good-time.
- 46. Officer Travis M. Rauch wrote two Lalse Disciplinary Reports in order to change Job Assignments for reasons previously stated.
- 47. Plaintiff was not medically able to appear anywhere on his own accord as he was not able to walk and had to be placed in a Wheel-Chair by Correctional Staff and transported to Dialysis or anywhere he was required to go.
- 48. In Late September 2006, Plaintiff was taken from Graham's Health Care Unit and transferred to Stateville Correctional center and Placed in Disciplinary Segregation Unit (F-House) Cell 2-04.
- 49. Plaintiff was scheduled to continue Dialysis Treatment, and Heath Care Nurses were required to still change the Bandages/Dressings on Plaintiff's Left-Thigh while he was confined to Segregation.
- 50. The Dialysis Treatment continued for about (11)-months, Each Month Doctor McFadden would check-up and see Plaintiff throughout the eleven month period.
 - 51. On July 7th, 2007 After having "Lab" work done each month,

Plaintiff was again seen by Doctor McFadden, However, On this visit/examination, Doctor McFadden "Stated he was Sorry", that he had been overlooking Plaintiff's Lab Work, and was supposed to take Plaintiff Off Dialysis Months ago, as he was mistakenly placed on Dialysis by a Graham Correctional Center Dector.

- Plaintiff was Ordered By Doctor McFadden to cease "dialysis" 52. and Ordered Medical staff to Cease Dialysis, However, Dr. McFadden then Ordered continued Lab-work treatment every four-months, Plaintiff was to be taken to the Lab to draw blood to continue to monitor Plaintiff's Kidney's however, during these Lab tests and other medical complications, Dr. Ghosh discovered that Plaintiff's "Liver" had been messed up by the unnecessary misdianosed Dialysis Treatments, which caused a physical "lump" to appear due to the blood blockage of the liver, Plaintiff is suffering poor blood flow and cronic skin irritations that require constant treatment. The damage caused by the unnecessary Dialysis Treatments is permanent and irreparable. These Ill-Health symptoms continue to occur, and Plaintiff was Ordered to be examined every four months, however, in retaliation for filing this action in the District Court seeking Judicial Redress, the scheduled Medical Care stopped,
- 53. (In Late August 2006) Dr. Zaire of Graham Correctional Center Ordered that Plaintiff be taken to an outside hospital in Springfield Illinois to have a "cathater" placed in Plaintiff's "Right-Arm" for further "Dialysis Treatments, which permantely "Disfigured" and caused Permenent "Disability" to Plaintiff's right-Arm.

COUNT-I

- (42 U.S.C. § 1983 Claim for violation of the Eighth Amendment for "Deliberate Indifference of Serious Medical needs")
 - 54. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 53.
- 55. Defendant's Doctor Zaire and/or Doctor Alonza individually, jointly, and in conspiracy, caused the physical pain and suffering, and Mental Distress, and physical 'disfigurements' and partial and permenent disabilities caused to plaintiff that is continuing to occur Due to Defendant's Deliberate Indifference of Serious Medical Needs to Plaintiff Where Defendant's Judgment and Treatment was "So blatantly inappropriate as to evidence intentional mistreatment likely to seriously aggravate [Plaintiff's] condition and/or Said Medical Treatment was "So grossly incompetent, inadequate, and/or unreasonable as to Shock the Conscience" which constitutes Deliberate Indifference to Serious Medical Needs of Plaintiff, by wrongfully placing Plaintiff on Dialysis.
- 56. The actions of Defendants Zaire and/or Alonza Due to the Deliberate Indifference of Serious medical Needs of Plaintiff were the direct and proximate cause of the injuries to Plaintiff which are set forth above.

wherefore, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants Zaire and/or ALONZA for substantial compensatory damages in an amount in excess of Fifty Thousand (\$50.000) dollars and further against Defendants, and because these Defendants acted in a malicious, willful and/or wanton manner toward Plaintiff, Punitive damages for the reckless disregard of Plaintiff's Constitutional rights, plus the costs of this action, Attorney's fee's and such other relief as this Court deems equitable and just.

COUNT-II

- (42 U.S.C. § 1983 Claim for Violation of the Eighth Amendment for "Deliberate Indifference of Serious Medical needs")
 - 57. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 53.
- 58. Defendant's Doctor Zaire and/or Alonza individually, jointly, and in conspiracy, caused plaintiff unnecessary pain and suffering, as well as Mental Distress by an unnecessary Delay of over a week in treating the "Absest" in Plaintiff's Left-Leg which was due to Doctor's failure to inquire into facts necessary to make a professional Judgment and/or Doctor failed to perform tests for "Absests in patient with symptoms that called for them Due to Defendant's Deliberate Indifference of Serious Medical needs of Plaintiff where Defendant's Judgment was "So blatently grossly incompentent, imadequate, and unreasonable as to not be described as Treatment which seriously aggravated [Plaintiff's] condition.
- Unnecessary Delay in providing reasonable Medical Treatment, and the denial of treatment that "could eliminate pain and suffering at least temporarily" or to provide "Speedy Access to other physicians or facilities that could provide adequate medical care was Deliberate Indifference of Serious Medical Needs to Plaintiff and were the direct and proximate cause of the injuries and unnecessary pain to plaintiff which are set forth above.

wherefore, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant's Zaire and/or Alonza for substantial compensatory damages in an anount in excess of Ten Thousand (\$10,000) dollars and further against Defendants, and because these Defendant's acted in a malicious, willful, wanton, and/or with reckless disregard for Plaintiff's Constitutional rights,

for substantial punitive damages, plus the costs of this action, Attorney Fee's and such other relief as this Court deems equitable and just.

COUNT-III

- (42 U.S.C. § 1983 Claim for Violation of the Eighth Amendment for "Deliberate Indifference of Serious Medical needs")
 - 60. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 53.
- 61. Defendant's Doctor Zaire and/or Alonza individually, Jointly, and in conspiracy, caused Plaintiff unnecessary Pain and Suffering, Mental Distress, Unnecessary Disfigurement by Ordering and allowing Treatment and Surgery on Plaintiff's Left-Leg for an Absest by the rendering of Medical Services by unqualified personnel and then requiring Plaintiff to sign a "Waiver' while under Intoxicating and Mind-Altering Medications to agree to the Surgery by "Students" just to obtain some type of Medical Treatment or fear the loss and amputation of his left-leg if he refused to allow the unqualified "Students" to Operate on Plaintiff's Leg. Wherein said operation caused permanent disfigurement and disability of the use of Flaintiff's Left-Leg. by the blatently unnecessary incision that was blatently out of proportion with adequate treatment constituting Deliberate Indifference of Serious Medical Needs of Plaintiff Where Defendant's Judgment and Treatment was "So blatently inappropriate as to evidence intentional mistreatment which was likely to aggravate [Plaintiff's] then existing conditions and/or said Medical Treatment was "so grossly incompetent, inadequate and/or unreasonable as to Shock the Conscience" of society.
- 62. Defendant's Doctor's Zaire and/or Alonza individually, Jointly and/or in conspiracy by their actions caused the Deliberate

Indifference of Serious Medical Needs of Plaintiff which were the direct and proximate cause of the injuries to Plaintiff which are set forth above.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant's Doctor Zaire and/or Doctor Alonza for substantial compensatory damages in an amount in excess of Fifty Thousand (\$50.000) dollars and further against Defendant's and because of these Defendants acted maliciously, willfully, and/or wantonly toward plaintiff, and Punitive damages in a substantial amount for the reckless disregard of Plaintiff's Constitutional rights, plus the costs of this action, Attorney Fee's and such other and further relief as this Court deems equitable and Just.

COUNT-IV

- (42 U.S.C. § 1983 Claim for Violation of the Eighth Amendment for "Deliberate Indifference of Serious medical needs")
 - 63. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 48 through 53.
- 64. Defendant Doctor McFadden individually, and in conspiracy, caused Plaintiff physical permanent injury, pain, and Mental Distress Due to Defendant's Deliberate Indifference of Serious Medical Needs of Plaintiff by maintaining Plaintiff on Unnecessary Dialysis Treatments which ultimately caused Plaintiff harm and permanent injury to Plaintiff's Liver by Defendant's neglect in failing to review Lab analysis test results which confirmed that plaintiff was wrongly diagnosed by a Graham Doctor and Plaintiff should not have been on Dialysis, Which neglect and Deliberate Indifference of Serious medical Needs of Plaintiff caused Plaintiff to be unnecessarily on "Dialysis Treatment" for

several Months while in Defendants care.

65. The actions of Defendant Doctor McFadden Due to the Deliberate Indifference of Serious medical Needs by his failure and Neglect in reviewing Monthly Lab Test Results which confirmed that Plaintiff was wrongly placed on Dialysis by a Graham Doctor was the direct and proximate cause of Plaintiff's Injuries which are set forth above.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant McFadden for substantial compensatory damages in an amount in excess of Fifty Thousand (\$50.000) dollars and further against Defendant because Defendant acted in a malicious, willful, wanton, and/or reckless disregard for Plaintiff's Constitutional rights, and for substantial Punitive Damages, plus costs of this action, Attorney's fee's and such other relief as this Court deems equitable and just.

COUNT-V

- (42 U.S.C. § 1983 Claim for Violation of the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments for "Deliberate Indifference of Serious Medical Needs" Due to Retaliation for seeking Judicial Redress.")
 - 66. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 48 through 53.
- 67. Defendant McFadden, individually, jointly, and/or in conspiracy with other CMS Employee's have caused further physical pain, discomfort and suffering, including unnecessary Severe emotional and Mental Distress in "Retaliation" for filing this civil action.

 Wherein, Prior to defendants knowledge of a suit against them, said Doctors had ordered continued Lab Blood testings every 4-months to monitor plaintiff's Blood due to the damage caused by being wrongly

subjected to "Dialysis Treatments" However, the "Scheduled" Care has not been administered since defendants became aware of the suit naming them as defendants in this action.

68. Defendant McFaddens actions constitutes Deliberate
Indifference of Serious Medical Needs of Plaintiff by the "Retalitory"
acts of "Failing to provide prescribed treatment" after becoming aware
of this action being filed against them.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant for compensatory damages in an amount in excess of Ten Thousand (\$10.000) dollars and further because of Defendant acted malicious, willful and/or wantonly toward Plaintiff, further, Plaintiff requests Punitive Damages for the reckless disregard of Plaintiff's Constitutional rights, Plus the costs of this action, Attorney Fee's and such other relief as this Court deems equitable and just.

COUNT-VI

- (42 U.S.C. § 1983 Due Process Claim for Deprivation of 'Notification' of false Disciplinary Report and Notice of Hearing.)
 - 69. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 24 through 47.
- 70. Defendant's Rauch, McCluskey, Lipe and Williams, individually, Jointly, and in conspiracy, denied Plaintiff Due Process and Equal Protection of the Law by Defendant Travis Rauch's writing two false Disciplinary Reports for alleged Assault on consecutive days in order to get a different Job assignment irregardless of the harm that would encomb Plaintiff, and for Defendant's Rauch and McCluskey's failure to serve or otherwise give Plaintiff "Notification" of these False Disciplinary Reports being written against him, and for Defendant's McCluskey, Lipe and Williams failure to give Plaintiff an opportunity

to appear and address these false charges or otherwise give Plaintiff
"Notification" that a Hearing was being held denied plaintiff Due
Process of Law wherein Plaintiff was under Medical Care and Supervision
and at the time of this alleged hearing was receiving Medical "Dialysis
Treatment", which would make it "impossible" to appear at any alleged
Disciplinary Hearing" at 10:30 a.m. or 10:39 A.M. as Plaintiff was
being administered "Dialysis Treatment from 8:30 .AM. to 11:30 A.M.
On Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday, and this alleged hearing was held
on (Tuesday).

- 71. Plaintiff suffered the loss of (2)-years Good Time Credit, was illegally confined to Disciplinary Segregation for (2)-years, Plaintiff was subjected to the loss of (1)-years visiting Restriction, the loss of (6)-Months Gym/Yard restriction and was subjected to enhanced Security Disciplinary Transfer, which defendants intended, by subjecting Plaintiff to such humiliating, degrading conduct, to inflict severe emotional distress on Plaintiff, and knew that their conduct would cause plaintiff and his family severe emotional distress.
- 72. As a direct and proximate cause and result of Defendant's outrageous conduct, Plaintiff was injured, by the punishments listed above and Plaintiff has experienced, and continues to experience, severe emotional distress, including sleep disruption, anxiety, depression, and inability to focus or concentrate due to said stress.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants Rauch, McCluskey, Lipe and Williams for compensatory damages, in an amount in excess of Fifty Thousand (\$50.000) dollars, and, because these Dendants acted in a malicious, willful and/or wanton manner toward Plaintiff by depriving him of Notification of any alleged Disciplinary Report or Hearing as described above, and for Punitive damages, plus costs

of this action, Attorneys Fee's, and such other and further relief as this Court deems equitable and just.

COUNT-VII

(State Law Claim for Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress)

- 73. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 21 through 47 and 69 through 72.
- 74. Defendant's Rauch, McCluskey, Lipe and Williams, inter alia, engaged in extreme outrageous conduct, by conspiracy to deprive Plaintiff of Notification and a Hearing as previously discribed.
- 75. Defendant's Rauch, McCluskey, Lipe and Williams intended, by subjecting plaintiff to such humiliating, degrading conduct, to inflict severe emotional distress on Plaintiff, and knew that their conduct would cause both Plaintiff and his family severe emotional distress.
- 76. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's outrageous conduct, Plaintiff was injured, and has experienced, and continues to experience, severe emotional distress, including sleep disruption, anxiety, depression and the inability to focus or concentrate due to said stress.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants Rauch, McCluskey, Lipe and Williams for compensatory damages, in an amount in excess of Fifty Thousand (\$50.000) dollars, and, because these Defendant's acted in a malicious, willful and/or wanton manner toward Plaintiff, for Punitive damages, plus costs of this action, Attorney Fee's, and such other and further relief as this Court deems equitable and just.

COUNT-VIII

(State Law Claim for Conspiracy)

- 77. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 76.
- 78. Defendant's Zaire, Alonza, McFadden, Rauch, McCluskey, Lipe and Williams, with other unused and unnamed co-conspirators, together reached an understanding, engaged in a course of conduct, and otherwise jointly acted and/or conspired among and between themselves to falsely accuse, maliciously deprive petitioner due process, and to intentionally inflict both physical injury and/or emotional distress on plaintiff.
- 79. In furtherance of this conspiracy or conspiracies, the Defendant's named above together with their unnamed co-conspirators, committed the overt acts set forth above, including, but not limited to, those set forth in paragraphs 10 through 76 above.
- 80. Said conspirac(ies) and overt acts were and are continuing in nature.
- 81. Defendant's and their co-conspirators' overt acts, as set forth above, which were committed jointly and/or while conspiring together to falsely accuse, maliciously deprive, defame, orintentionally inflict physican pain, suffering, or severe emotional distress on Plaintiff, constitutes the tort of conspiracy as set forth above.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands compensatory damages in an amount in excess of Fifty Thousand (\$50.000) dollars, jointly and severally from the Defendants named in this Count, and because these Defendants acted in a malicious, willful and/or wanton manner toward Plaintiff, for Punitive Damages, plus costs of this action, Attorney Fee's and whatever additional relief the Court deems equitable and just.

DATED: On this 18th day of JULY, 2008.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

RONALD D. GRANGER B-24617 (Plaintiff) Stateville Correctional Center P.O. Box 112 Joliet, Illinois 60434-0112

PROOF/CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Ronald D.Granger, the Plaintiff/Affiant herein certify that I have caused to be served The Original and (1)-Copy to the Clerk of the District Court, Further, I have caused to be served a copy of this "Amended Complaint" on Each Named Defendant at the addresses stated in Paragraphs 2 through 9 OF THE Amended Complaint by placing them in the U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid at Stateville Correctional Center on this 23 day of July, 2008.

Toncild D. Granger (Afflant)

Case 1:08-cv-00039 Document 30 Filed 07/28/2008 Page 21 of 26

NOIS -- DEPARTMENT OF CORF STATE OF I

ADJUSTMENT COMMITTEE FINAL SUMMARY REPORT

Name: GRANGER, RONALD D

IDOC Number: B24617

Race: BLK

Hearing Date/Time: 8/15/2006 10:39 AM

Living Unit: GRA-H-01-01

Orientation Status: N/A

FINAL

Incident Number: 200602608/1 - GRA

Status: Final

Date	Ticket #	Incident Officer	Location	T	ime	
8/5/2006	200602608/1-GRA	RAUCH, TRAVIS M	HEALTH CARE UNIT	C)5:33 F	PM
Offense	Violat	ion	Final Result		್ತ	
206	Intimic	dation Or Threats	Guilty			-17/*************************
102	Assaul	ting Any Person - Staff	Guilty	(117) <u>-</u> 1		1 1
	Comm	ents:Hit c/o's leg with bloody	band-aid		2	1 *** material
Witness 7	Type Witnes	s ID Witness Name	Witness Status	<u></u> (6) <u>-</u> -(6)		}
No Witness	Paguagted					1 1
IAO AAITIIASS	Vednessen			[" ()	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
DECORD C	F PROCEEDINGS				ن (

REPORT READ, OFFENDER GRANGER REFUSED TO APPEAR TO ADDRESS THE CHARGES.

THE COMMITTEE CONTACTED THE REPORTING EMPLOYEE WHO IDENTIFIED OFFENDER GRANGER BY ID CARD

THE COMMITTEE REVIEWED THE COPY OF THE ATTACHED WITNESS REPORT SUBMITTED BY THE REPORTING EMPLOYEE WHICH VERIFIES THAT OFFENDER GRANGER DID THROW A BLOODY BAND-AID AT THE REPORTING EMPLOYEE STRIKING THE REPORTING EMPLOYEE ON THE LEG.

BASIS FOR DECISION

BASED ON THE OBSERVATION OF THE REPORTING EMPLOYEE THAT OFFENDER GRANGER DID THROW A BLOODY BAND-AID AT THE REPORTING EMPLOYEE STRIKING THE REPORTING EMPLOYEE ON THE LEG, OFFENDER GRANGER THEN STATED TO THE REPORTING EMPLOYEE THAT HE WOULD KILL THE REPORTING EMPLOYEE IF HE COULD GET UP, THE POSITIVE ID OF OFFENDER GRANGER BY THE REPORTING EMPLOYEE BY ID CARD, THE COPY OF THE ATTACHED WITNESS REPORT BY THE REPORTING EMPLOYEE WHICH VERIFIES THAT OFFENDER GRANGER DID THROW A BLOODY BAND-AID AT THE REPORTING EMPLOYEE STRIKING THE REPORTING EMPLOYEE ON THE LEG, AND OFFENDER GRANGER'S REFUSAL TO APPEAR TO ADDRESS THE CHARGES, THE COMMITTEE IS SATISFIED THE VIOLATIONS OCCURRED AS REPORTED. SEG TIME 8-10-07 TO 8-10-08

DISCIPLINARY ACTION (Consecutive to any priors)

RECOMMENDED

1 Year CGrade 1 Year CGrade 1 Year Segregation 1 Year Segregation Revoke GCC or SGT 1 Year Revoke GCC or SGT 1 Year 6 Months Contact Visits Restriction 6 Months Contact Visits Restriction 6 Months Gym/Yard Restriction 6 Months Gym/Yard Restriction Basis for Discipline:NATURE OF OFFENSE Signatures **Hearing Committee** WHI 08/15/06 LIPE, GREGORY - Chair Person Race Date ASN 08/15/06 WILLIAMS, LEANNA J Signature Date Race Recommended Action Approved

-21-

Final Comments: N/A

Run Date: 8/17/2006 07:38:52 Page 1 of 2 Case 1:08-cv-00039 Document 30 Filed 07/28/2008 Page 22 of 26

STATE OF NOIS -- DEPARTMENT OF CORE CTIONS ADJUSTMENT COMMITTEE FINAL SUMMARY REPORT

Name: GRANGER, RONALD D

IDOC Number: B24617

Race: BLK

Hearing Date/Time: 8/15/2006 10:39 AM

Living Unit: GRA-H-01-01

Orientation Status: N/A

Incident Number: 200602608/1 - GRA

Status: Final

Steven Bryant / AKO 8/35/2006

Chief Administrative Officer

08/15/06

Date

that the right to appeal an adverse decision through the grievance procedure established by Department Rule 504: Subpart F.

Employee Serving Copy to Committed Person

Dispense forces \$-5-06 Dispense forces Dispe		Stars of Hilans Decey from of Corrections IMSCOREMARY REPORT	Page 1 of 1
Consumed Parison. S. Fenger. Cheeromen Parison. S. Food. Cheeromen Parison. Ch	\$ Disciplinary Proper 8-5-06	C) investigative Report	Date
Cherenten Dain \$5.50 time \$3.33 # Januarion \$4.50 has 120 \$32 The foreign of the control of the	•		
On the chart of state and state and specified to the state and specified to the state of the sta		•	
On the choice of state and state and state and state to the section of the section of the choice of	So fare H mb	Employee	5-5-52 / 5-4 0p.
Assented by Official Scanger (38960). Official scanger throw a bloody band of by Official scanger throw a bloody band of the scanger throw a bloody band of the scanger through the scange			
a bloody bend ord at this 3 to white his this limit in the lag. This 3 to the appropriate Stanger to Stanger the this limit the survey of the lag. This 5 to the appropriate Stanger to survey of the lag. This 5 to the survey of the survey			
Signature for the state of the			
STRING SERVICE STATES IN A SERVICE SERVICE STATE STATES SERVICE STATES SERVICE SERVICE STATES SERVICE SERVICE SERVICE STATES SERVICE S	a bloody bendered at	this to Witten bet the	i to inthe Logo
Processes of the State of the Control of the State of the			
The property of a second property of the prope			
### Process of the pr		a four of intrassiction	and threets
The second standard and a second seco	•		management and the second of t
The Stockward Colored to Professing Clips: Comment Colored Colore		and a street and the	
The Supervisor's Separate and Date (For Consequent Perform of Performance Consequence) Performance of Performance Consequence			TuesOt
Construction of the first feeding Officer Construction of the property of the feeding Officer Construction of the first feeding Officer Construction of the feeding Officer Construction of the feeding o		Case Strains Property	—,N <i>U</i> (34 2)
Commenced the first seeing Officer Comment President of the first seeing Officer Comment President of the first seeing Officer Comment President of the first seeing Comment Comment of the first seeing comment of the first seed of the first seeing comment of the first seed of the	Dichres	4	8/3/04
Signature finite Previous Pr	জনক কিন্তু ক্র <u>ম নদক্ষ্</u>		
Signature finite Previous Pr	TS Charles and Alberta and the State of the	** Consume the	
Performance of the desirable of the desirable of the performance of th	The second section of the second section is a second section of the second section section is a second section of the second section s	A District Control of the Control of	
Previous the Property of the Residue of the Property of the Property of the Residue of the Property of the Pro	O A Nobel Company and a second	MANA	ignature/ilate
Perdoment to the destruction of the form o	🔀 is a district, solidaningad shi ilali sensina ng Caranja sa	a Till selleriski, guirreissed to Froquest Uglic (The alphase
Signature vortibate Compared to the process of the process of the process of an investigation concerning the charges. You may process released material people of the process of decembers of the process of the proces	Lit VX huntion		Sich a Signature and Date
Signature vortibate Compared to the process of the process of the process of an investigation concerning the charges. You may process released material people of the process of decembers of the process of the proces	M	+ William MCI	1 X 8 /2 /2 /2
You have the rigid to account and areas to indicate or of distances of analysis of contenting the charges. You may prosess cleared mysical material products as decorated as decorated. 2.10. 11.30 products as decorated as a content of the cont		Polisia Mercia	Standaure vor Data
post or records as decempent. The following of the policy			
In order of the pair series to some and a composition of the control of the body desirence of the some of the education of the control of the	·	ා හැකි වේ විවර්ණතාවකක් ලේ සහස්තය දම්පත සහපත්වෙන් වර්ණ වෙසට වූමයි. (Y	ou may prosent (alevan) my siçel majoria)
elemptime was suggest. You must redicted in advence of the bearing the oder or manyou wish to the weet and specify what they contained to by \$100 per many the contrained to the best of the best to the best of t	FINE CHOUSELS #FRICH SHEW FLET TO DOD	President to the property of the president of the property of the president of the presiden	E CWG (MEMORPHIMARY) CLAPPONEYS
Bling out the emmindence on the horse region it off, and returned it to the Adicstruct Connected. You may have staff assistance it you are unable to permit a property of the end of the adicstruct. If you are touch guilty of a smooth classical participation of the end of the			
possible performance are stocked in the constraint of a contract of a contract of the section of a contract may be selected as the section of a contract may be selected as the section of a contract of the section of	Milling cost the permissions of an owner the force, to en-	on it off, and returning it to the Azil Istopent Conneities. You ma	ay baye staff yasistenna it you et , unebia to .
Manual Ma	The safety costs for threath the same size of the foreign of the first safety.	continuous as resistantes is the second regions of the seconds of a presenting of	ny rodnise a dakey in recommendan readily.
Maria Xab C	A A College and a State of the College	Company Market Market	in Returned to State
1: 1 () (M) (C) (M	Ma Tusker	MCXX	8 Bloc XCD E

Case 1:08-cv-00039 Document 30 Filed 07/28/2008 Page 24 of 26

STATE OF I NOIS -- DEPARTMENT OF CORF ADJUSTMENT COMMITTEE FINAL SUMMARY REPORT

Name: GRANGER, RONALD D

IDOC Number: B24617

Race: BLK

Hearing Date/Time: 8/15/2006 10:30 AM

Living Unit: GRA-H-01-01

Orientation Status: N/A

Incident Number: 200602609/1 - GRA

Status: Final

Date	Ticket#	Incident Officer	Location	Time
3/6/2006	200602609/1-GRA	RAUCH, TRAVIS M	HEALTH CARE UNIT	06:33 PM
Offense	Violation		Final Result	
403	Disobeying A Direct Order		Guilty	
102	Assaulting Any Person - Staff Comments: SPIT ON OFFICER		Guilty	
Witness 7	Type Witnes	s ID Witness Name	Witness Status	

No Witness Requested

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

REPORT READ, OFFENDER GRANGER REFUSED TO APPEAR TO ADDRESS THE CHARGES.

THE COMMITTEE CONTACTED THE REPORTING EMPLOYEE WHO IDENTIFIED OFFENDER GRANGER BY ID CARD

THE COMMITTEE REVIEWED THE COPY OF THE ATTACHED WITNESS REPORT SUBMITTED BY THE REPORTING EMPLOYEE WHICH VERIFIES THAT OFFENDER GRANGER WAS ORDERED TO STOP SPITTING, OFFENDER GRANGER REFUSED, OFFENDER GRANGER THEN HIT THE REPORTING EMPLOYEE WITH SPIT ON THE REPORTING EMPLOYEE'S BOOT.

BASIS FOR DECISION

BASED ON THE OBSERVATION OF THE REPORTING EMPLOYEE THAT OFFENDER GRANGER DID SPIT AT THE REPORTING EMPLOYEE AS THE REPORTING EMPLOYEE WAS WALKING BY OFFENDER GRANGER'S BED, OFFENDER GRANGER WAS GIVEN A DIRECT ORDER TO STOP SPITTING, BUT REFUSED TO DO SO AS ORDERED, OFFENDER GRANGER THEN SPIT AND HIT THE REPORTING EMPLOYEE ON THE REPORTING EMPLOYEE'S BOOT, THE POSITIVE ID OF OFFENDER GRANGER BY THE REPORTING EMPLOYEE BY ID CARD. OFFENDER GRANGER'S REFUSAL TO APPEAR TO ADDRESS THE CHARGES, AND THE COPY OF THE ATTACHED WITNESS REPORT WHICH VERIFIES THAT OFFENDER GRANGER DID SPIT ON THE REPORTING EMPLOYEE STRIKING THE REPORTING EMPLOYEE'S BOOT, THE COMMITTEE IS SATISFIED THE VIOLATIONS OCCURRED AS REPORTED, SEG TIME 8-10-06 TO 8-10-07

DISCIPLINARY ACTION (Consecutive to any priors)

FINAL RECOMMENDED 1 Year CGrade 1 Year CGrade 1 Year Segregation 1 Year Segregation Revoke GCC or SGT 1 Year Revoke GCC or SGT 1 Year Transfer (Disciplinary) Transfer (Disciplinary) 6 Months Contact Visits Restriction 6 Months Contact Visits Restriction Basis for Discipline:NATURE OF OFFENSE Signatures Hearing Committee WHI 08/15/06 LIPE, GREGORY - Chair Person Date Race ASN 08/15/06 WILLIAMS, LEANNA J Date Race Signature

Run Date: 8/17/2006 07:38:54

Recommended Action Approved

Case 1:08-cv-00039 Filed 07/28/2008 Page 25 of 26 Document 30

STATE OF

INOIS -- DEPARTMENT OF COR'

CHONS

ADJUSTMENT COMMITTEE FINAL SUMMARY REPORT

Name: GRANGER, RONALD D

IDOC Number: B24617

Race: BLK

Hearing Date/Time: 8/15/2006 10:30 AM

Living Unit: GRA-H-01-01

Orientation Status: N/A

Incident Number: 200602609/1 - GRA

Status: Final

Final Comments: N/A

Steven Bryant / AKO 8/15/2006

Chief Administrative Officer

has the right to appeal an adverse decision through the grievance procedure established by Department Rule 504: Subpart F.

Employee Serving Coppy to Committed Person

The second of th

THE TAIL THE PERSON	1966.
A	comparison (Darwer)
B296	\$365%
The Confidence of the Confiden	1/ Comment & 2 Con bear Le
	to 57- To hos 12000 832
73/ Inchil make	
102 ASSANT, 423 Dishaying	e choset order
	- 54y2)2002Xx
Grand Sport of this Some	of her end of the many the second second
Franges Spit at this You As	on the second of the second will be second to the second of the second o
Spotrate Ollmeter somewar sport a	ser at this Go and
Spotros. Offmelia grangur. Sport a	STS An Assault FOL
, which we have the section of the	
and the second of the second o	
	(2) The Personal Control of the ground that good the ground the
the control of the co	
	Oktopa transford .
XII alika kalendari ya ya masa kata kata kata da waka mwaka ka ka	en een D. D. C.
Nichm	1008-7-06
Mac (11, -100	
X milion and with IV Willey	11 / XX 8 13/06
	tour + North Stock (accompanies was reported to